



Sustainable Investment Report

Murray International Trust PLC

31 December 2023

Prepared by: abrdn

abrdn.com

Portfolio Overview	1
Key Data and Ratings	2
abrdn ESG Analysis	3
Benchmarking: MSCI ESG	4
Ratings Active Ownership	5
Active Ownership: Case Studies	6
Glossary	7
Disclosure	8

Portfolio Overview

Murray International Trust PLC

FUND SIZE	As at 31 December 2023, the fund size was £ 1,665.42m
------------------	---

Sustainable Investment Approach

- abrdn believes that Sustainable Investing is how we help clients to meet both their financial and sustainable investing objectives – with the aim of enabling our clients to be better investors.
- We also believe that integrating material ESG analysis can produce better risk-adjusted returns potential over the long term for our clients.
- Our beliefs are supported by principles that centre on our proprietary research, tools and analysis, all focused on delivering better value to our clients.
- We also offer clients a range of dedicated sustainable investment funds.



Our goal is to integrate environmental, social and governance risks and opportunities into the investment process with the ultimate aim of making better-informed investment decisions.

How we embed ESG into the Investment Process

01 Investment Insight	02 Active Ownership	03 Risk & Monitoring	04 Our People
			
<p>High quality fundamental and first hand research</p> <p>Assessment of ESG for all stocks under coverage</p>	<p>Engage and vote with aim of improving financial resilience and investment performance</p> <p>Raise standards in companies and industries we invest in, and help drive industry best practice</p>	<p>Combine in-house and external scoring to inform view</p> <p>Active tracking of fund holdings against ESG objectives</p>	<p>Over 110 equity professionals and circa 37 dedicated central & on-desk ESG specialists across the world</p>

The benchmark for the fund is **FTSE All World Index**

Key Data and Ratings

Murray International Trust PLC

Below we set out some key information about the way ESG considerations have been embedded across abrdn and in the portfolio

abrdn

c.60

Dedicated ESG experts across our business



5-star

Rating across 7 categories in the latest Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) assessment



932

Company engagements covering ESG topics



Equities Investment Team

5-star/4-star

PRI Rating for Integration/Active Ownership in Listed Equities



100%

of researched companies include integration of ESG company analysis



Fund


48

Number of meetings where we voted




58.3%

of meetings with at least one vote against management



4th

Quartile Peer Group MSCI Quartile Rating



A

Fund MSCI ESG Rating



As at 31 December 2023 scores are included for representative purposes only and are not reflective of our ratings across other modules. Our latest PRI Assessment Report containing our scores across all modules is available on our Sustainable Investing website <https://www.abrdn.com/en-gb/institutional/sustainable-investing/document-library>

Engagement: time period referenced is preceding 6 months.

Voting: time period referenced is preceding 12 months.


abrdn ESG Analysis

Murray International Trust PLC

Fund ESG Quality Score Distribution

As part of their company research, our stock analysts evaluate the ownership structures, governance and management quality of the companies they cover. They also assess potential environmental and social risks that the companies may face. These insights are captured in our company research with every company that we invest in given a proprietary overall Quality rating and a component of this is the ESG Quality rating. Companies are ranked from Laggards (5) to Best in class (1).

The portfolio holds 45% in stocks rated ESG Best in Class and Above Average, and 45% in Improvers. Improvers are a key area of focus where we see potential for future leaders based on improving policies, target-setting, disclosures and ultimately, outcomes. One holding rated as an Improver is Atlas Copco. Our engagement with Atlas Copco has covered a wide range of ESG topics, such as labour practices, climate change, business ethics and remuneration. Our engagement has highlighted a robust approach to ESG risk management which will enable further ESG developments. Approximately 5% of the portfolio is categorised as Below Average. One example is Sanofi. While some product recalls and quality issues are inherent in the pharmaceutical industry, Sanofi seems unable to move on from past problems as well as peers. This is an areas for engagement as we seek to understand lessons learned, and gain confidence in processes and procedures around quality control. On the plus side, the company scores well in terms of providing access to healthcare.



Source: abrdn

Analyst ESG rating	5	4	3	2	1
	Laggard	Below average	Average	Above average	Best in class
Examples of inputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many financial controversies Severe governance concerns Poor treatment of shareholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of some financially material controversies Poor governance or limited oversight of key ESG issues Some issues in treating minority shareholders poorly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ESG risks are starting to be considered in company strategy. Disclosure in line with regulatory requirements Governance is generally good but some minor concerns 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ESG risks are considered as part of principal business Disclosure is good but not best in class Governance is very good 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ESG considerations are material part of the company's strategy Excellent disclosure Makes opportunities from strong ESG risk management

Benchmarking: MSCI ESG Ratings

Murray International Trust PLC

MSCI company ratings are provided to enable comparisons with investments held elsewhere in a standardised format. We conduct our own proprietary research which may lead us to have a view different to that expressed by the MSCI score.

MSCI rates companies on a AAA-CCC scale according to their exposure to ESG risks and how well they manage those risks relative to peers.

The Fund MSCI ESG Quality Score assesses the resilience of a fund's aggregate holdings to long term ESG risks and is provided on a 0-10 scale, with 10 being the highest possible fund score. The Fund MSCI ESG Rating measures the resiliency of portfolios to long term risks and opportunities arising from environmental, social, and governance factors. The Fund ESG Rating is calculated as a direct mapping of "Fund MSCI ESG Quality Score" to letter rating categories.




MSCI Fund ESG Quality Scores

	Overall Score (1-10)	Benchmark Average
Fund	6.8	6.8
Environmental	6.2	6.6
Social	5.2	5.1
Governance	5.7	5.6

Source: abrdn derived average based on underlying MSCI company scores

MSCI ESG Rating Distribution Fund and Benchmark



Source: abrdn derived averages based on underlying MSCI company ratings

	Fund	Benchmark
MSCI data coverage (by market value)	100%	99.4%

MSCI company ratings are provided to enable comparisons with investments held elsewhere in a standardised format. We conduct our own proprietary research which may lead us to have a view different to that expressed by the MSCI score.

MSCI ESG Rating: This is sourced direct from the vendor and includes MSCI adjustments incorporating positive & negative ESG trends and ESG laggard metrics in the calculation of the rating. MSCI ESG Rating Distribution: The fund and benchmark averages are calculated based on the individual security level MSCI scores. Therefore the ratings are not directly comparable. In both calculation methodologies Portfolio and Benchmark positions are reweighted on a pro rata basis to reflect holdings where MSCI data is available.

Active Ownership

Murray International Trust PLC

Engagement Activity

We actively undertake informed constructive engagement to generate better performance from our investments. This helps enhance the value of our clients' assets. We engage, manage and vote for either insight or influence. Engagement activity may be undertaken by any of our investment teams with a holding in the company, or by our dedicated stewardship team. Time period referenced is preceding 6 months.

Please note this page references abrdn ESG engagements conducted with the investment desk and does not cover all company meetings by the investment desk where ESG issues were discussed. The case studies section in this report details a sample of further engagements relevant to the fund.

Voting


We draw on the resources in our ESG Investment Team and stock analysts to vote consistently on behalf of all client assets in line with the abrdn voting policy. Time period referenced is preceding 12 months.



There were 64 holdings in the portfolio as of the 31 December 2023

Our Engagement Activity

We regularly engage with companies we invest in. The below shows the engagements that have included ESG topics. Over the period we met with 18 portfolio companies on ESG topics and had 34 engagements with them. This does not include positions we have moved out of or are considering. Below are the themes engaged on :



Our Voting Activity

Voting Summary	Total
How many meetings were you eligible to vote at?	53
How many meetings did you vote at?	48
How many resolutions were you eligible to vote on?	975
What % of resolutions did you vote on for which you were eligible?	91.4%
Of the resolutions on which you voted, what % did you vote with management?	88.8%
Of the resolutions on which you voted, what % did you vote against management?	9.7%
Of the resolutions on which you voted, what % did you abstain from voting?	1.6%
In what % of meetings, for which you did vote, did you vote at least once against management?	58.3%

During an ESG engagement meeting / call with a portfolio company multiple themes and issues might be discussed. At abrdn we endeavour to vote at all meetings for which our clients have delegated us voting authority. Where we do not have voting authority, no voting data will be included in this report. We have disclosed the number of meetings and resolutions for which the fund was eligible to vote and the remaining statistics reflect data for the votes which have been successfully processed. The number of meetings voted compared to eligible meetings may differ due to the impact of market specific obstacles which could impact liquidity, for example shareblocking, and rejected votes due to local Power of Attorney requirements. Full details of our voting activity is disclosed on our website.

Active Ownership: Case Studies

Murray International Trust PLC

We include a selection of case studies to demonstrate engagement style and investment management approach. These are not an indication of future performance or investment recommendation on the companies themselves.

Company Topic	Lifecycle Status	Engagement Summary	Investment View Change
TotalEnergies Climate Change	Execute	We continue to engage with TotalEnergies across multiple topics, including as part of our Net Zero engagement programme to engage with our largest financed emitters. TotalEnergies is one of the leading oil and gas companies in terms of progressing its energy transition strategy and setting ambitious targets. We met with head of sustainability who outlined TotalEnergies to grow its renewable business this decade by investing between \$16- 18bn by 2028.	Enhances
Unilever Corporate Gov & Disclosure		The company consulted with us on changes to the Remuneration Policy ahead of renewal at the 2024 AGM. We spoke to the Chair of the Remuneration Committee to discuss the proposals. We are comfortable that there is no change to quantum of awards and are supportive of the changes to performance metrics which will be made. We welcomed the opportunity to discuss remuneration following the company's failed vote on the Remuneration Report at 2023. We had voted against but expect to be supportive of the revised policy in 2024.	None
Unilever Climate Change, Environment, Human Rights & Stakeholders, Corporate Behaviour, Corporate Gov & Disclosure	Plan	We met with Unilever to discuss the company's climate action plan with the updated version due to be published in 2024. In addition, Unilever's new CEO has emphasised that the company's sustainability strategy will become more focussed, creating some uncertainty about whether the Unilever's efforts will be scaled back. We were therefore encouraged by comments that the new CEO is highly engaged in advancing Unilever's position as an ESG leader. Nonetheless, we flagged to the company that additional examples of what this new 'ESG focus' and 'performance culture' look like in practice would be valuable.	None

Glossary

Murray International Trust PLC

Data Point	Definition
Avoided Emissions	Greenhouse gas emissions avoided by being invested in the portfolio in lieu of the benchmark.
Carbon Emissions	Carbon emissions is used as a generic term for the main greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, F-gases) in our reporting.
Carbon Footprint	The total set of greenhouse gas emissions caused directly and indirectly by an [individual, event, organisation, product] expressed as CO ₂ e.
Carbon Intensity	Carbon emissions relative to a specific activity. For company carbon footprinting, the carbon intensity reflects the CO ₂ e emissions divided by revenue in million US\$. For countries, the standard intensity metric is the ratio of greenhouse gas emissions produced to gross domestic product (GDP).
CO ₂ e	The term CO ₂ e stands for CO ₂ equivalent and relates to the CO ₂ equivalent warming potential of the main greenhouse gases listed above.
ESG Integration	The inclusion of ESG considerations as part of investment analysis and decision making. It involves assessing both the risks and opportunities of a range of ESG-related factors that may affect the performance of our investments.
Exclusions	Companies and sectors that will not be invested in by the portfolio due to business activities that are deemed unsuitable for the portfolio outcome or underlying investor. For example the makers of controversial weapons like cluster bombs.
MSCI Fund ESG Quality Score	The Fund ESG Quality Score (10-0) assesses the resilience of a fund's aggregate holdings to long term industry specific ESG risks. Highly rated funds tend to consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks according to MSCI's methodology.
MSCI Fund ESG Rating	The Fund ESG Rating (AAA-CCC) assesses the resilience of a fund's aggregate holdings to long term industry specific ESG risks. Highly rated funds tend to consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks according to MSCI's methodology.
Peer Group MSCI Percentile Rating	The Fund ESG Score - Peer Percentile is a percentile rank (1-100) that measures how the Fund ESG Score ranks relative to other funds in the same peer group.
Pillars	Using the SDGs for guidance on where the world should allocate capital, we identified eight pillars of impact that address three key issues: climate change, social inequalities and unsustainable consumption patterns.
PRI Rating	The Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) is a global initiative founded by the United Nations. The PRI scorecard rating aims to measure the success of implementation of responsible investment practices across the investment process.
UN Global Compact	A global corporate sustainability initiative, calling on companies, investors and other participants to align their strategies and operations with universal principles on human rights, labour, environment and anti-corruption.
UN Sustainable Development Goals	The Sustainable Development Goals or Global Goals are a collection of 17 interlinked global goals designed to be a "blueprint to achieve a better and more sustainable future for all". The SDGs were set in 2015 by the United Nations General Assembly and are intended to be achieved by the year 2030.
Weighted Average Carbon Intensity	Average carbon intensity of the portfolio weighted by the weight of the company in the portfolio. This measure is recommended by TCFD.

Past performance is not a guide to future results. The value of investments, and the income from them, can go down as well as up and clients may get back less than the amount invested.

The information contained herein including any expressions of opinion or forecast have been obtained from or is based upon sources believed by us to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to the accuracy or completeness.

Any data contained herein which is attributed to a third party ("Third Party Data") is the property of (a) third party supplier(s) (the "Owner") and is licensed for use by abrdrn*. Third Party Data may not be copied or distributed. Third Party Data is provided "as is" and is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. To the extent permitted by applicable law, none of the Owner, abrdrn* or any other third party (including any third party involved in providing and/or compiling Third Party Data) shall have any liability for Third Party Data or for any use made of Third Party Data. Neither the Owner nor any other third party sponsors, endorses or promotes the fund or product to which Third Party Data relates.

* abrdrn means the relevant member of abrdrn group, being abrdrn plc together with its subsidiaries, subsidiary undertakings and associated companies (whether direct or indirect) from time to time.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or re-disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from marketing) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis, should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an 'as is' basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the 'MSCI' Parties) expressly disclaims all warranties (including without limitation, any warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages (www.msci.com).

An investment trust should be considered only as part of a balanced portfolio. The information contained in this document should not be considered as an offer, solicitation or investment recommendation to deal in the shares of any securities or financial instruments. It is not intended for distribution or use by any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any jurisdiction where such distribution, publication or use would be prohibited. Nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, tax or other advice and is not to be relied upon in making an investment or other decision. No recommendation is made, positive or otherwise, regarding individual securities mentioned. This is not an invitation to subscribe for shares and is by way of information only. Subscriptions will only be received and shares issued on the basis of the current Key Information Document (KID). These can be obtained free of charge from abrdrn Investments Limited, PO Box 11020, Chelmsford, Essex, CM99 2DB or available on www.investments.co.uk

Issued by abrdrn Fund Managers Limited, registered in England and Wales (740118) at 280 Bishopsgate, London EC2M 4AG.
Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the UK.